arXiv:math/0303384v1 [math.AC] 31 Mar 2003

SINGLE SPOT IDEALS OF CODIMENSION 3 AND LONG BOURBAKI SEQUENCES

YUKIHIDE TAKAYAMA

Abstract. Let K be a field and $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring. A single spot ideal $I \subset S$ is a graded ideal whose local cohomology $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I)$, $i < \dim S/I$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, only has non-trivial value N, a finite length module, at $i = \operatorname{depth} S/I$. We consider characterization of single spot ideals in terms of (long) Bourbaki sequences. The codimension 2 case has been fairly well investigated. In this paper, we focus on the codimension 3 case.

Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K with the standard grading and let $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. All the modules and ideals in this paper are graded. A finitely generated S-module M is called a *generalized Cohen-Macaulay* (*CM*) *module* if the local cohomoloy module $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ has finite length for all $i < \dim(M)$. A ring R is a *generalized CM ring* if it is a generalized CM R-module. An ideal $I \subset S$ is called a *generalized CM ideal* if S/I is a generalized CM ring. If a generalized CM module M satisfies $\dim M = \dim S$, it is called *maximal*.

In this paper, we are interested in generalized CM ideals. In particular, single spot ideals. An ideal $I \subset S$ is called a *single spot ideal* of type (t, N) where $t = \operatorname{depth} S/I$ and N is a finite length S-module if the local cohomology only has a non-trivial value N at dimension t, i.e.,

$$H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for all } i < \dim S/I \text{ with } i \neq t \\ N & \text{if } i = t \end{cases}$$

Let $I \subset S$ be a generalized CM ideal of $\operatorname{codim} I = r$ $(r \geq 2)$. Then by Corollary 1.3 [4] we have a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow 0$$

with S-free modules F_i $(i = 1, \ldots, r-1)$ and M is a maximal generalized CM module whose local cohomology is as follows

$$(1) \qquad H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong \begin{cases} H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(I) \cong H^{i-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I) & \text{if } i < n-r+1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = n-r+1 \end{cases}$$

In this sence, the ideal I is approximated by M. Notice that the value of $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ for $i = n-r+2, \ldots, n-1$ are irrelevant to this approximation. However, the construction given in the proof of Corollary 1.3 [4] (and also Lemma 1.3 [1] in a slightly different situation) always makes the module M such that $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$ for $i = n-r+2, \ldots, n-1$. In this paper, we are interested in long Bourbaki sequences with approximation modules M such that $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ $(i = n-r+2, \ldots, n-1)$

are not always trivial, and study the case of codimension 3, namely the case of $H^{n-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = N$ where N is a non-trivial finite length module. Notice that in the case of codimension 2 we always have $H^{n-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$.

First of all, we will give a characterization of a maxmal generalized CM module M whose local cohomology is

$$H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } i = t+1 \\ N & \text{if } i = n-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i < n-2,\ i \neq t+1 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

in terms of the first syzygy of M. See Theorem 1.1. Then we consider the special case of $M = E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$ where E_j denotes the jth sygyzy module of the field K over S. We will use the notation $M(d)$, for a graded module M and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $M(d)_i = M_{d+i}$ (the $d+i$th component of M) for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Our question is how we can construct a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \longrightarrow I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

of *non-trivial* type. Here a *trival type* construction is as follows. First construct a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \xrightarrow{f} G' \xrightarrow{f} E_{t+1} \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0$$

according to the method given in the proof of Corollary 1.3 [4] (or Lemma 1.3 [1]). Then make the direct sum

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \oplus K_n(d) \xrightarrow{f\oplus\partial_n} G' \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \xrightarrow{g\oplus\partial_{n-1}} E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $(K_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ is the Koszul complex of the sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ over S. We will denote a base $x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_k}$ $(1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n)$ of the Koszul complex of the sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ by $e_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}}$ or $e_{i_1\cdots i_k}$. Notice that, in the trivial type Bourbaki sequence $E_{n-1}(d)$ does not contribute to I via ϕ.

It is well known that a (short) Bourbaki sequence $0 \to F \to M \longrightarrow I \to 0$ is constructed by finding '(graded) basic elements' in M. See [2, 5] for the standard basic element theory and [4, 6] for graded version. However, there is no comparative notion for long Bourbaki sequences. We give a simple answer to this problem in the case of $E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$ (and $M = E_{t+1}$). We will give a characterization of long Bourbaki sequences (3) in terms of elemets from $K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}$ (from K_{t+1}) and from $K_{n-t-1} \oplus K_1$ (from K_{n-t-1}) satisfying certain conditions, which suggests a consruction of the long Bourbaki sequences. See Theorem 2.2 and 2.3. In particular, non-trivial type construction is characterized by an additional condition on the elements from $K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}$ (Theorem 2.7).

However, the existence of a long Bourbaki sequence (3) only means that I is a single spot ideal of codimension less than or equal to 3. We give a numerial condition to assure $\operatorname{codim} I = 3$. See Theorem 3.5. Finally, we give some examples.

For a module M $(\neq K)$, we will denote the jth syzygy module over S by $\Omega_j(M)$. Also we use two kinds of duals, $(-)^\vee = \operatorname{Hom}_S(-, K)$ and $(-)^* = \operatorname{Hom}_S(-, S(-n))$.

1. APPROXIMATION MODULES OF SINGLE SPOT IDEALS OF TYPE $(t, K(-c))$

In this section, we consider approximation modules M of codimension 3 single spot ideals $I \subset S$ of type $(t, K(-c))$ in long Bourbaki sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow I(c) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If we restrict ourself to the case of $H^{n-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$, we have $M = E_{t+1} \oplus H$ for some free S-module H according to Herzog, Takayama [4] and Amasaki [1]. We will now consider the general case.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a maximal generalized CM module over S and consider its first sygyzy:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_1(M) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

and let $g_1, \dots, g_l$ be a minimal set of generators of $\Omega_1(M)$ whose degrees are $a_1, \dots, a_l$. Also let N be a finite length module over S, which may be 0. Then the following are equivalent.

(i) For $t \leq n-4$, we have

$$H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \begin{cases} K & \textit{if } i = t+1 \\ 0 & \textit{if } i \leq n-2, i \neq t+1 \\ N & \textit{if } i = n-1 \end{cases}$$

(ii) (a) $\Omega_1(M) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} S(-a_i)/E_{t+3}$, and
(b) $\Omega_1(M)^* \cong N^\vee + F^*/M^*$

Proof. We first prove (i) to (ii). Let $F_\bullet$ be a minimal free resolution of M over S:

$$F_\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow F_{n-t-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-t-1}} F_{n-t-2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-t-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} F_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

By taking the dual, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow F_0^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*} F_1^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^*} F_2^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_3^*} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-t-2}^*} F_{n-t-2}^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-t-1}^*} F_{n-t-1}^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then by local duality the jth cohomology of this complex is

$$\mathrm{Ext}^j_S(M, S(-n)) \cong H^{n-j}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)^\vee = \begin{cases} N^\vee & \text{if } j = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } j \geq 2, j \neq n-t-1 \\ K & \text{if } j = n-t-1 \end{cases}$$

for $j \geq 1$. Thus

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \varphi_2^* \longrightarrow F_2^* \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{n-t-1}^* \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and F_2^* to F_{n-t-1}^* part is a begining of a minimal free resolution of K, which is isomorphic to a begining of the Koszul complex $(K_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ of the sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Namely,

$$F_{n-t-1}^* \cong K_0, \quad \dots \quad , F_2^* \cong K_{n-t-3} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{Im} \varphi_2^* \cong E_{n-t-2}.$$

On the other hand, we have $N^\vee \cong \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_2^* / \operatorname{Im} \varphi_1^*$ and $E_{n-t-2} \cong \operatorname{Im} \varphi_2^* \cong F_1^* / \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_2^*$. Now set $U := \operatorname{Coker} \varphi_1^* = F_1^* / \operatorname{Im} \varphi_1^*$. Then

$$U/N^\vee \cong (F_1^*/\operatorname{Im} \varphi_1^*)/(\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_2^* / \operatorname{Im} \varphi_1^*) \cong F_1^* / \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_2^* = E_{n-t-2}.$$

Thus we have

$$0 \longrightarrow N^\vee \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow E_{n-t-2} \longrightarrow 0$$

Taking the dual, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow E^*_{n-t-2} \longrightarrow U^* \longrightarrow (N^\vee)^*.$$

Since N has finite length, $N^\vee$ has also finite length by Matlis duality, so that $(N^\vee)^* = 0$. Also $E^*_{n-t-2} \cong E_{t+3}$ by selfduality of Koszul complex. Thus we have $U^* \cong E_{t+3}$. Then by dualizing the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^* \longrightarrow F_0^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*} F_1^* \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4}$$

we have

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{t+3} \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

This proves $(ii)(a)$. Now from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_1(M) \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \longrightarrow 0$$

we have the long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^* \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} F^* \longrightarrow \Omega_1(M)^* \longrightarrow N^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

since we have $\operatorname{Ext}^1_S(M, S(-n)) \cong H^{n-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)^n = N^\vee$ by local duality. This proves $(ii)(b)$.

Next we prove (ii) to (i). By $(ii)(a)$ we have a S-free resolution of M:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+4}} K_{t+3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+3}} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

where F_0 and F_1 are S-free modules. By taking the dual, we have the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow M^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^*} F_0^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^*} F_1^* \xrightarrow{\partial^*_{t+3}} K^*_{t+3} \xrightarrow{\partial^*_{t+4}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial^*_n} K^*_n \longrightarrow 0$$

Then by local duality and selfduality of Koszul complex we compute

$$H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S(M, S(-n))^\vee = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } i = t+1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \leq n-2,\ i \neq t+1 \end{cases}$$

Now by dualizing the exact sequence

$$K_{t+3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+3}} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \operatorname{Ker}\varphi_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

we have

$$0 \longrightarrow (\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_0)^* \longrightarrow F_1^* \xrightarrow{\partial^*_{t+3}} K^*_{t+3},$$

so that we have $\Omega_1(M)^* = (\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_0)^* \cong \operatorname{Ker}(\partial^*_{t+3})$. Then by the condition $(ii)(b)$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} H^{n-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)^\vee &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_S(M, S(-n)) = \operatorname{Ker}\partial^*_{t+3} / \operatorname{Im}\varphi_1^* \\ &\cong \Omega_1(M)^*/(F_0^*/\varphi_0^*(M^*)) \\ &= N^\vee \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Corollary 1.2. *Let M be a maximal generalized CM module satisfying Theorem 1.1 (i). Then its minimal free resolution is in the form of*

$$0 \to K_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} K_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+4}} K_{t+3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+3}} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \to M \to 0.$$

Notice that F_1 is a S-free module containing a submodule isomorphic to E_{t+3}.

Example 1.3. *Let $M = E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}$. Then $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is as in Theorem 1.1 (i) with $N = K$. Since M has a minimal free resolution*

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+4}} K_{t+3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+3}} K_{t+2} \oplus K_n \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+2}\oplus\partial_n} K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+1}\oplus\partial_{n-1}} M \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have $\Omega_1(M) = E_{t+2} \oplus E_n \cong G/E_{t+3}$ where $G = K_{n+2} \oplus E_n$. Thus we have Theorem 1.1 (ii)(a). On the other hand, we have $\Omega_1(M)^* = E^*_{t+2} \oplus E^*_n \cong E_{n-t-1} \oplus S$ by selfduality of Koszul complex and $E_n \cong S(-n)$. Again by selfduality we have $(K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1})^*/M^* = (K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1})^*/(E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1})^* = (K_{n-t-1} \oplus K_1)/(E_{n-t} \oplus E_2) = (K_{n-t-1}/E_{n-t}) \oplus (K_1/E_2) = E_{n-t-1} \oplus E_1 = E_{n-t-1} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. Thus $\Omega_1(M)^*/((K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1})^*/M^*) \cong S/\mathfrak{m} \cong K \cong K^\vee$ and we obtain Theorem 1.1 (ii)(b).

2. Long Bourbaki sequences with Approximation Module
$E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$

In the last chapter, we considered approximation modules M satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.1(i). We now focus on a special case of $M = E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$, and investigate the long Bourbaki sequences.

We will use the following well known result frequently without refering it. First of all, we will give a proof for the readers' convenience.

Lemma 2.1. *For the t-th syzygy module of K over S, we have*

$$\operatorname{rank} E_t = \binom{n-1}{t-1}$$

Proof. Let K_i be the ith Koszul complex. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} K_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_t} K_t \longrightarrow E_t \longrightarrow 0$$

so that

$$\operatorname{rank}(E_t) = \sum_{i=t}^{n} (-1)^{i-t} \operatorname{rank}(K_i) = (-1)^t \sum_{i=t}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} = (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i}.$$

Now set $\alpha(n,t) := \operatorname{rank}(E_t)$. By a straightforward calculation, we have $\alpha(n,t) - \alpha(n-1,t) = \alpha(n-1,t-1)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(n,t) &= \alpha(n-1,t) + \alpha(n-1,t-1) \\ &= (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (-1)^i \binom{n-1}{i} + (-1)^{t-2} \sum_{i=0}^{t-2} (-1)^i \binom{n-1}{i} = \binom{n-1}{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

2.1. **Characterization of long Bourbaki Sequences.** For $J, K \subset [n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with $J \cap K = \emptyset$ we define $\sigma(J, K) = (-1)^i$ where $i = \sharp\{(j, k) \in J \times K \mid j > k\}$. Then we have $x_J \wedge x_K = \sigma(J, K) x_{J \cup K}$.

Now a long Bourbaki sequence with approximation module $E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$ is characterized by suitable sequences from $K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}$ and its dual. Namely,

Theorem 2.2. *Following are equivalent.*

(*i*) *We have a long Bourbaki sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \longrightarrow E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \longrightarrow I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (exact)$$

where $I \subset S$ is a graded ideal.

(*ii*) *We have $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \backslash E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d)$ and $\varphi = (a, b)$ with $a \in \mathbf{A}$ and $b \in \mathbf{B}$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n-t} (-1)^{j+1} \sigma(L \backslash \{i_j\}, ([n] \backslash L) \cup \{i_j\}) x_{i_j} e^*_{([n] \backslash L) \cup \{i_j\}} \mid L = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-t}\} \subset [n] \right\rangle \\ \mathbf{B} &= \langle (-1)^i x_j e^*_{[n] \backslash \{i\}} - (-1)^j x_i e^*_{[n] \backslash \{j\}} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

such that

(*a*) *$\varphi : K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \to S(-n)$ is a degree ’$n + c$’ homomorphism and* $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) = \langle \beta_1, \ldots \beta_q \rangle + E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d)$, *and*

(*b*) *we we have the following diagram, with $p = q - n + 2 - \binom{n-1}{t}$*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Ker} Res(\beta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Ker} \beta \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Res(\beta)"'] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \cap E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \arrow[d] & \\
 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\beta(g_i) = \beta_i$ for all i with $g_1, \ldots, g_q$ the free basis of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i)$, and $Res(-)$ denotes the restriction of maps.

In this case, we have $I = \varphi(K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d))(-c)$

Proof. We first prove (ii) to (i). First notice that, by the selfduality of Koszul complex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &\cong E^*_{n-i+1} \cong \partial^*_{n-i+1}(E^*_{n-i+1}) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{i} (-1)^{k+1} \sigma(J\backslash\{j_k\}, [n]-(J\backslash\{j_k\})) x_{j_k} e^*_{[n]-(J\backslash\{j_k\})} : J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_i\} \subset [n] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

See [3] Chapter 1.6. Thus $\mathbf{A} = \partial^*_{t+1}(E^*_{t+1})$ and $\mathbf{B} = \partial^*_{n-1}(E^*_{n-1})$. Then, there exists $\bar{a} \in E^*_{t+1}$ and $\bar{b} \in E^*_{n-1}$ such that $a = \bar{a} \circ \partial_{t+1}$ and $b = \bar{b} \circ \partial_{n-1}$. Then by (a) we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle + E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\bar{\partial}"'] & K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "\bar{\partial}"'] & S(c) \arrow[d, equal] \\ 0 \arrow[r] & \langle \bar{\partial}\beta_1, \ldots, \bar{\partial}\beta_q \rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d] & S(c) \\ & 0 & 0 & \end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

where $\phi = (\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ and $\bar{\partial} := \partial_{t+1} \oplus \partial_{n-1}(d)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\partial} \circ \beta &= \{\sum_{i=1}^{q} h_i g_i \mid \bar{\partial}(\sum_{i=1}^{q} h_i \beta_i) = 0, h_i \in S\} \\ &= \{\sum_{i=1}^{q} h_i g_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^{q} h_i \beta_i \in \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\partial} = E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d), h_i \in S\} \\ &= \{\sum_{i=1}^{q} h_i g_i \mid \beta(\sum_{i=1}^{q} h_i g_i) \in \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \cap E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d)\} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is by (b). Notice that let $u \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i)$ be such that $\beta(u) \in \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \cap E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d)$. Then u must be in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i)$. In fact, by (b) we can choose $v \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i)$ such that $Res(\beta)(v) = \beta(u)$. Thus $u - v \in \operatorname{Ker} \beta \cong \operatorname{Ker} Res(\beta)$, and we have $u \in v + \operatorname{Ker} Res(\beta) \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i)$ as required. Then by (5) we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}\circ\beta} E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \xrightarrow{\phi} S(c) \qquad (exact)$$

and since $p = q - n + 2 - \binom{n-1}{t}$ we know that rank $\operatorname{Im} \psi = 1$ so that we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}\circ\beta} E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (exact)$$

for the ideal $I := \phi(E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d))(-c) = \varphi(K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d))(-c)$ as required.

Next we prove (i) to (ii). Given a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \xrightarrow{g} E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (exact)$$

with a graded ideal $I \subset S$. Then we have $p = q - n + 2 + \binom{n-1}{t}$ since $1 = \operatorname{rank} I(c) = \operatorname{rank} E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) - q + p$. Also since $I(c) \subset S(c)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\in \operatorname{Hom}_S(E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d), S(c)) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_S(E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d), S(-n))(n+c) \\ &= (E_{t+1}^* \oplus E_{n-1}^*(-d))(n+c) \cong (\partial_{t+1}^*(E_{t+1}^*) \oplus \partial_{n-1}^*(E_{n-1}^*(-d)))(n+c). \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists a unique $(a,b) \in \partial_{t+1}^*(E_{t+1}^*) \oplus \partial_{n-1}^*(E_{n-1}^*(-d))$ such that $\phi \circ \bar{\partial} = (a,b)$. Now we set $\varphi = (a,b)$. Then $E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d) + N = \bar{\partial}^{-1}(\operatorname{Ker}\phi)(\subset K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d))$ for some module $N (\neq 0)$. Let $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q\}$ be a minimal set of generators of N. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 & & \\
 & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[u] & I(c) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \arrow[r, "\varphi=(a,b)"] \arrow[u, "\bar{\partial}"] & I(c) & \\
 & 0 & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have obtained $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d)$ and $(a,b) \in \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ satisfying the condition (a) and the numerical condition on p, q, t and n in (b). Also we have $I = \varphi(K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d))(-c)$.

Now since $\operatorname{Ker} g = \operatorname{Ker}(Res(\bar{\partial}) \circ \beta) = \operatorname{Im} f = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i)$ we readily have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Ker} \beta \circ f \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Ker} \beta \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \arrow[r, "f"] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \arrow[d, equal] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \cap E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d) \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \arrow[r, "Res(\bar{\partial})"] \arrow[d] & E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \\
 & & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Notice that since $\operatorname{Ker} \beta \subset \operatorname{Ker}(Res(\bar{\partial}) \circ \beta) = \operatorname{Ker} g = \operatorname{Im} f$ we have the exactness of the first row. Since $\operatorname{Im}(\beta \circ f) = \beta(\operatorname{Ker}(Red(\bar{\partial}) \circ \beta)) = \operatorname{Ker} Res(\bar{\partial}) = \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \cap E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d)$, we have a well-defined surjection

$$\beta \circ f : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \longrightarrow \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \cap E_{t+2} \oplus E_n(d).$$

Thus we obtained the diagram of (b) as required. □

For any codimension 3 single spot ideal of type $(t, K(-c))$, there exists a long Bourbaki sequence with approximation module $E_{t+1} \oplus H$ where H is a S-free module [4, 1]. The following is the case of $H = 0$, which can be proved with the same idea as that of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Following are equivalent.*

(i) *We have a long Bourbaki sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \longrightarrow E_{t+1} \longrightarrow I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (exact)$$

where $I \subset S$ is a graded ideal.

(ii) *We have $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in K_{t+1} \backslash E_{t+2}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{A}$ where*

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n-t} (-1)^{j+1} \sigma(L \backslash \{i_j\}, ([n] \backslash L) \cup \{i_j\}) x_{i_j} e^*_{([n] \backslash L) \cup \{i_j\}} \mid L = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-t}\} \subset [n] \right\rangle$$

such that

(a) *$\varphi : K_{t+1} \rightarrow S(-n)$ defines a degree 'n + c' homomorphism and* $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi = \langle \beta_1, \ldots \beta_q \rangle + E_{t+1}$*, and* *(b) we we have the following diagram, with* $p = q + 1 - \binom{n-1}{t}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Ker} Res(\beta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Ker} \beta \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Res(\beta)"'] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \cap E_{t+2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle \arrow[d] & \\
 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\beta(g_i) = \beta_i$ *for all* i *with* $g_1, \ldots, g_q$ *the free basis of* $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i)$*. In this case, we have* $I = \varphi(K_{t+1})(n-c)$*.*

Corollary 2.4. *There is no codimension 3 single spot ideal* I *of type* $(0, K(-c))$ *with approximation module* E_{t+1} *if* $t = 0$*.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a codimension 3 single spot ideal $I \subset S$ of type $(0, K(-c))$ fitting into a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow I(c) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then by Theorem 2.3, there exist $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in K_1 \backslash E_2$ and $(0 \neq)\varphi \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $\langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle + E_2 = \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi : K_1 \to S(-n))$. Since $\mathbf{A} = \langle x_1 e_1^* + \cdots + x_n e_n^* \rangle$ we must have $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in E_2$, a contradiction. ☐

Remark 2.5. *In fact, the above Corollary holds for any codimension* ≥ 2*. Here is an outline of the proof. We consider a straightforward extension of Theorem 2.3(a) to any codimension* r*. In this case we consider long Bourbaki sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{r-1} \longrightarrow F_{r-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0.$$

and φ *is determined by a nonzero element from* $\mathbf{A} = \langle x_1 e_1^* + \cdots + x_n e_n^* \rangle$*.*

2.2. **Long Bourbaki sequences of non-trivial type.** Let $n \geq 4$ and $t \leq n-4$ and consider a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}$$

with $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and S-free modules F and G. From this sequence, we construct the following diagram where the second row is the minimal free resolution of $M = E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$ and the third row is the mapping cone $C(\alpha, \beta)$ of a chain map $\alpha \oplus \beta$, which is a free resolution of $I(c)$.

(7)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & & & & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & & 0 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & G \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \operatorname{Ker}\phi \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K_n \arrow[r, "\partial_n"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_{t+4}"] & K_{t+3} \arrow[r, "\partial_{t+3}"] & K_{t+2} \oplus K_n(d) \arrow[r, "\partial_{t+2} \oplus \partial_n"] & K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \arrow[r, "\partial_{t+1} \oplus \partial_{n-1}"] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K_n \arrow[r, "\partial_n"] & \cdots \arrow[r, "\partial_{t+4}"] & K_{t+3} \oplus F \arrow[r, "\zeta"] & K_{t+2} \oplus K_n(d) \oplus G \arrow[r, "\rho"] & K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \arrow[r, "\phi \circ (\partial_{t+1} \oplus \partial_{n-1})"] & I(c) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & & & & & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \rho: & K_{t+2} \oplus K_n(d) \oplus G & \longrightarrow & K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \\ & (a,b,c) & \longmapsto & (\partial_{t+2}(a), \partial_n(b)) - \beta(c) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \zeta: & K_{t+3} \oplus F & \longrightarrow & K_{t+2} \oplus K_n(d) \oplus G \\ & (a,b) & \longmapsto & (\partial_{t+3}(a), 0, f(b)) - \alpha(b) \end{array}$$

Let $p_1 : K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \to K_{t+1}$ and $p_2 : K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d) \to K_{n-1}(d)$ be the first and the second projections. From the diagram (7) we know $\operatorname{Ker}\phi = \operatorname{Im} g = (\partial_{t+1} \oplus \partial_{n-1}) \circ \beta(G)$ and then by considering the ranks of the modules in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\phi \longrightarrow E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{8}$$

we have

$$\operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{Ker}\varphi) = n - 2 + \binom{n-1}{t}. \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(\partial_{t+1} \circ p_1 \circ \beta)(G) \oplus (\partial_{n-1} \circ p_2 \circ \beta)(G) \supset (\partial_{t+1} \oplus \partial_{n-1})\beta(G) = \operatorname{Ker}\phi. \tag{10}$$

Thus we have

$$\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{t+1} + \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{n-1} \geq n - 2 + \binom{n-1}{t}. \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{t+1} := (\partial_{t+1} \circ p_1 \circ \beta)(G) (\subseteq E_{t+1})$ and $\mathbf{I}_{n-1} := (\partial_{n-1} \circ p_2 \circ \beta)(G) (\subseteq E_{n-1}(d))$. Since $\operatorname{rank} E_{t+1} = \binom{n-1}{t}$ and $\operatorname{rank} E_{n-1}(d) = n-1$ we know from (11) that

$$(\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{t+1}, \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{n-1}) = (\binom{n-1}{t} - 1, n-1), (\binom{n-1}{t}, n-1), \text{ or } (\binom{n-1}{t}, n-2).$$

Under this situation, we have

Lemma 2.6. *Following are equivalent.*

(*i*) *long Bourbaki sequence* (*6*) *is of non-trivial type*
(*ii*) *For any free basis* $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^q$ *of* G *there exists an index* i *such that* $\beta(m_i) \notin K_{t+1}$ *and* $\beta(m_i) \notin K_{n-1}(d)$.

Proof. We will prove (*i*) to (*ii*). We assume that for all i we have either $\beta(m_i) \in K_{t+1}$ or $\beta(m_i) \in K_{n-1}(d)$ and will deduce a contradiction. First of all, we have equality in (10), and then from (11) we have

$$n - 2 + \binom{n-1}{t} = \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{t+1} + \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{n-1}.$$

Thus, we have $(\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{t+1}, \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{n-1}) = (\binom{n-1}{t} - 1, n-1)$ or $(\binom{n-1}{t}, n-2)$. Also, since $\operatorname{Ker} \phi = \mathbf{I}_{t+1} \oplus \mathbf{I}_{n-1}$, we have by (8)

$$I(c) \cong (E_{t+1}/\mathbf{I}_{t+1}) \oplus (E_{n-1}(d)/\mathbf{I}_{n-1}) \tag{12}$$

case $(\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{t+1}, \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{n-1}) = (\binom{n-1}{t} - 1, n-1)$**::** Since we have $\operatorname{rank} E_{n-1}(d)/\mathbf{I}_{n-1} = \operatorname{rank} E_{n-1}(d) - \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{n-1} = 0$, $E_{n-1}/\mathbf{I}_{n-1}$ is 0 or a torsion-module. But since $I(c)$ is torsion free, we must have $E_{n-1}(d) = \mathbf{I}_{n-1}$ by (12). Thus $\operatorname{Ker} \phi = \mathbf{I}_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$ and then the Bourbaki sequence (6) must be of trivial-type

$$0 \longrightarrow F' \oplus K_n \xrightarrow{f' \oplus \partial_n} G' \oplus K_{n-1} \xrightarrow{g' \oplus \partial_{n-1}} E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $0 \to F' \to G' \to \mathbf{I}_{t+1} \to 0$ is a S-free resolution of $\mathbf{I}_{t+1}$, a contradiction.

case $(\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{t+1}, \operatorname{rank} \mathbf{I}_{n-1}) = (\binom{n-1}{t}, n-2)$**::** In this case we have $\operatorname{rank} E_{t+1}/\mathbf{I}_{t+1} = 0$. Since $E_{t+1}/\mathbf{I}_{t+1} \subset I(c)$ and $I(c)$ is torsion-free, we must have $E_{t+1}/\mathbf{I}_{t+1} = 0$. Thus $\operatorname{Ker} \phi = E_{t+1} \oplus \mathbf{I}_{n-1}$ and the Bourbaki sequence (6) is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow K_n \oplus U_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n \oplus d_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+2} \oplus d_{t+2}} \; & K_{t+1} \oplus U_{t+1} \\ \xrightarrow{\partial_{t+1} \oplus d_{t+1}} \; & E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

But then we must have $t \geq n-2$, which contradicts to the assumption that $t \leq n-4$.

Now we show (*ii*) to (*i*). Assume that (6) is of trivial type. Then we must have $\beta(G) = p_1(\beta(G)) \oplus p_2(\beta(G))$. From this we immediately obtain the required result. □

From Lemma 2.6, we immediately have

Theorem 2.7. *Under the situation of Theorem 2.2, the long Bourbaki sequence is of non-trivial type if and only if*

(*i*) *the condition Theorem 2.2* (*ii*) *holds, and*
(*ii*) *the submodule* $N := \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \rangle$ *of* $K_{t+1} \oplus K_{n-1}(d)$ *cannot be decomposed in the form of* $N = A \oplus B$ *for some* $(0 \neq) A \subset K_{t+1}$ *and* $(0 \neq) B \subset K_{n-1}(d)$

3. Numerical Characterizations

Existence of long Bourbaki sequence as in Theorem 2.2 and 2.3 only implies that I is a single spot ideal of codimension at most 3. To assure that the codimension is exactly 3, we need additional condition. In this section, we give a numerical condition to assure $\operatorname{codim} I = 3$ for long Bourbaki sequences with approximation modules $E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$.

We assume $n \geq 4$ and $t \leq n-4$, and let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal fitting into a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} M \xrightarrow{\phi} I(c) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{13}$$

with $M = E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d)$, $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i)$ and $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i)$ are S-free modules and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in Theorem 2.2 we have

$$q = p + \binom{n-1}{t} + n - 2. \tag{14}$$

Now from the sequence (13), we construct the mapping cone $C(\alpha,\beta)$ as in (7). The cone gives a S-free resolution $F_\bullet$ of the residue ring S/I.

$$F_\bullet : 0 \to F_{n-t} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to S/I \to 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0 &= S \\
F_1 &= K_{t+1}(-c) \oplus K_{n-1}(d-c) = S(-t-1-c)^{\beta_1} \oplus S(-n+1+d-c)^n \\
F_2 &= K_{t+2}(-c) \oplus K_n(d-c) \oplus G(-c) \\
&= S(-t-2-c)^{\beta_2} \oplus S(-n+d-c) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i-c) \\
F_3 &= K_{t+3}(-c) \oplus F(-c) = S(-t-3-c)^{\beta_3} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i-c) \\
F_i &= K_{t+i}(-c) = S(-t-i-c)^{\beta_i} \qquad (4 \leq i \leq n-t) \\
\text{with} \quad & \beta_i = \binom{n}{t+i} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n-t.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that this resolution is minmal if and only if matrix representations of α and β only have their entries from $\mathfrak{m}$.

Now we compute the Hilbert series $Hilb(S/I,\lambda)$ of S/I. We have

$$Hilb(S/I,\lambda) = \frac{Q(\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)^n} \tag{15}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\lambda) &= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^i \beta_{i,j} \lambda^j \\
&= 1 - n\lambda^{n-1+c-d} + \lambda^{n+c-d} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda^{b_i+c} - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda^{a_i+c} + (-1)^t \lambda^c \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \lambda^i
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_{i,j}$ are as in $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$, $(i = 0, \dots, n-t)$. (see Lemma 4.1.13 [3]).

Since we have $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I) = H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ for $0 \le i \le n-4$ by (1), we know that $\dim S/I \ge n-3$, i.e., $\operatorname{codim} I \le 3$. To assure that $\operatorname{codim} I \ge 3$ we must have $Q(1) = Q'(1) = Q''(1) = 0$ (see Corollary 4.1.14(a) [3]).

Proposition 3.1. *$Q(1) = 0$ holds for all n, t, c and p.*

Proof. We compute using (14)

$$Q(1) = \binom{n-1}{t} - (-1)^{t+1} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} = \binom{n-1}{t} - \operatorname{rank} E_{t+1} = 0.$$

where the last equation follows from the Koszul resolution of E_{t+1}:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow K_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K_{t+1} \longrightarrow E_{t+1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{(exact)}.$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *$Q'(1) = 0$ holds if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i = n^2 - (2+d)n + c + d + \binom{n-2}{t-1} + \binom{n-1}{t} t.$$

Proof. We compute using (14)

$$Q'(1) = -n^2 + (2+d)n - c - d + \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i - (-1)^{t+1} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i.$$

and we know

$$(-1)^{t+1} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i = (-1)^t \sum_{i=0}^{t} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i = \binom{n-2}{t-1} + \binom{n-1}{t} t \tag{16}$$

where the last equation is given in Example 2.3 [4]. □

Now before we go further, we need to show a combinatorial equation.

Lemma 3.3.

$$(-1)^{t+1} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i^2 = \binom{n-1}{t}(t+1)^2 - \binom{n-2}{t}(2t+1) - 2\binom{n-3}{t-1}$$

Proof. Let $A = (-1)^{t+1} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i^2$. A straightforward computation using the binomial coefficient theorem and (16) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=2}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i(i-1) \\ &= (-1)^{t+1} A + \sum_{i=2}^{t} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i(i-1) - (-1)^{t+1} \left[\binom{n-2}{t-1} + \binom{n-1}{t} t \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$A = \binom{n-2}{t-1} + \binom{n-1}{t}t + (-1)^t \sum_{i=2}^{t} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i(i-1) \tag{17}$$

Now we will compute the last term. Set

$$\alpha(n,t) = (-1)^t \sum_{i=2}^{t} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} i(i-1).$$

and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(n,t) + \alpha(n-1,t-1) \\ &= \; 2t^2\binom{n-1}{t} - 2(-1)^t \sum_{i=2}^{t}(-1)^i\binom{n-1}{i} i + 2(-1)^t(n-1) - \alpha(n-1,t). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\alpha(n-1,t-1) + \alpha(n-1,t) = t(t-1)\binom{n-1}{t}$$

Thus by using (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(n,t) &= t(t+1)\binom{n-1}{t} - 2(-1)^t \sum_{i=2}^{t}(-1)^i\binom{n-1}{i} i + 2(-1)^t(n-1) \\ &= t(t+1)\binom{n-1}{t} - 2\left[t\binom{n-2}{t} + \binom{n-3}{t-1} + (-1)^t(n-1)\right] \\ &\quad +2(-1)^t(n-1) \\ &= t(t+1)\binom{n-1}{t} - 2t\binom{n-2}{t} - 2\binom{n-3}{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

Substiting this into (17), we obtain the desired result. □

Proposition 3.4. *$Q''(1) = 0$ holds if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i^2 &= n^3 - (3+2d)n^2 + (d^2+4d+1)n - c^2 - d^2 \\ &\quad + \binom{n-1}{t}(t+1)^2 - \binom{n-2}{t}(2t+1) - 2\binom{n-3}{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
Q''(1) &= c+d-c^2+d^2-2cd+(4c-3-d^2-5d+2cd)n+(4-2c+2d)n^2-n^3\\
&\quad -(2c-1)\left[\binom{n-2}{t-1}+\binom{n-1}{t}t\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{q}b_i^2-\sum_{i=1}^{p}a_i^2\\
&\quad +(2c-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q}b_i-\sum_{i=1}^{p}a_i\right)+(-1)^t\sum_{i=t+1}^{n}(-1)^i\binom{n}{i}i^2\\
&= c^2+d^2-(d^2+4d+1)n+(3+2d)n^2-n^3\\
&\quad +\sum_{i=1}^{q}b_i^2-\sum_{i=1}^{p}a_i^2+(-1)^t\sum_{i=t+1}^{n}(-1)^i\binom{n}{i}i^2
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equation is by Proposition 3.2. Then by Lemma 3.3 we obtain the desired result. □

To summerize, we obtain

Theorem 3.5. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $t \leq n-4$. Assume that we have the following long Bourbaki sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} S(-a_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} S(-b_i) \longrightarrow E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1}(d) \longrightarrow I(c) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $I \subset S$ a graded ideal and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $\operatorname{codim} I \leq 3$ *and the equality holds if and only if*

(1) $q = p + \binom{n-1}{t} + n - 2$;

(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i = n^2-(2+d)n+c+d+\binom{n-2}{t-1}+\binom{n-1}{t}t$;

(3) *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{q} b_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i^2 &= n^3-(3+2d)n^2+(d^2+4d+1)n-c^2-d^2\\
&\quad +\binom{n-1}{t}(t+1)^2-\binom{n-2}{t}(2t+1)-2\binom{n-3}{t-1}
\end{aligned}$$

4. EXAMPLES

Example 4.1. *We first give an application of Theorem 2.3. Namely, a single spot ideal I with approximation module E_{t+1}. Let $t=1$ and $n=6$. Then* $\mathbf{A}$ =

$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6\} = \partial_2^*(E_2^*) \subset K_2^*$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= x_1e_{16}^* + x_2e_{26}^* + x_3e_{36}^* + x_4e_{46}^* + x_5e_{56}^* \\
A_2 &= -x_1e_{15}^* - x_2e_{25}^* - x_3e_{35}^* - x_4e_{45}^* + x_6e_{56}^* \\
A_3 &= x_1e_{14}^* + x_2e_{24}^* + x_3e_{34}^* - x_5e_{45}^* - x_6e_{46}^* \\
A_4 &= -x_1e_{13}^* - x_2e_{23}^* + x_4e_{34}^* + x_5e_{35}^* + x_6e_{36}^* \\
A_5 &= x_1e_{12}^* - x_3e_{23}^* - x_4e_{24}^* - x_5e_{25}^* - x_6e_{26}^* \\
A_6 &= x_2e_{12}^* + x_3e_{13}^* + x_4e_{14}^* + x_5e_{15}^* + x_6e_{16}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $a \in \mathbf{A}$ *and* β_i $(i = 1, \ldots, 6)$ *be as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= x_6A_1 - x_5A_2 + x_4A_3 \\
&= x_1x_4e_{14}^* + x_1x_5e_{15}^* + x_1x_6e_{16}^* + x_2x_4e_{24}^*x_2x_5e_{25}^* + x_2x_6e_{26}^* + x_3x_4e_{34}^* + x_3x_5e_{35}^* \\
&\quad +x_3x_6e_{36}^* \\
\beta_1 &= e_{12}, \quad \beta_2 = e_{13}, \quad \beta_3 = e_{23}, \quad \beta_4 = e_{45}, \quad \beta_5 = e_{46}, \quad \beta_6 = e_{56}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(a : K_2 \oplus K_5 \to S) = \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_6 \rangle + E_3$$

and, for the map $\beta : \bigoplus_{i=1}^6 S(-2) \to K_2 \oplus K_5$ *such that* $\beta(m_i) = \beta_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, 6)$ *where* $\{m_i\}$ *is a free basis, we obtain the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \left\langle \begin{matrix} x_3m_1 - x_2m_2 + x_1m_3, \\ x_6m_4 - x_5m_5 + x_4m_6 \end{matrix} \right\rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Res(\beta)"'] & \langle m_1, \ldots, m_6 \rangle \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_6 \rangle \cap E_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_6 \rangle \arrow[d] \\
 & 0 & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and a *defines a degree* 0 *homomorphism from* E_2 *to* S. *Then we obtain the long Bourbaki sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow S^2(-3) \xrightarrow{f} S^6(-2) \xrightarrow{g} E_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} I \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\begin{array}{llcl} f: & S^2(-3) = Sn_1 \oplus Sn_2 & \longrightarrow & S^6(-2) = Sm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Sm_6 \\ & n_1 & \longmapsto & x_3m_1 - x_2m_2 + x_1m_3 \\ & n_2 & \longmapsto & x_6m_4 - x_5m_5 + x_4m_6 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llcl} g: & S^6(-2) = Sm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Sm_6 & \longrightarrow & E_2 \\ & m_i & \longmapsto & \partial_2(\beta_i) \qquad (i = 1, \dots, 6) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{llcl} \varphi & E_2 & \longrightarrow & I \\ & \partial_2(e_{ij}) & \longmapsto & x_ix_j \\ & & \text{for} & (i,j) = (1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(4,5),(4,6),(5,6) \\ & \partial_2(e_{ij}) & \longmapsto & 0 \\ & & \text{for} & (i,j) \neq (1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(4,5),(4,6),(5,6) \end{array}$$

and we obtain $I = (x_1x_4, x_1x_5, x_1x_6, x_2x_4, x_2x_5, x_2x_6, x_3x_4, x_3x_5, x_3x_6) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)(x_4, x_5, x_6)$, *a codimension 3 single spot ideal of type* $(1, K)$.

Example 4.2. *We continue to consider the situation in Example 4.1. As an application of Theorem 2.2, we can see that the same ideal fits into a long Bourbaki sequence with approximation module* $E_{t+1} \oplus E_{n-1} = E_1 \oplus E_5$. *In this case, we must also consider* $\mathbf{B} = \{B_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 6\} = \partial_5^*(E_5^*) \subset K_5^*$ *where* $B_{ij} = (-1)^i x_j e^*_{[6]\setminus i} - (-1)^j x_i e^*_{[6]\setminus j}$. *Then we set* $a \in \mathbf{A}$ *as in Example 4.1 and*

$$b = -x_1^2x_2x_4B_{14} = x_1^2x_2x_4^2e^*_{23456} + x_1^3x_2x_4e^*_{12356} \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Also we set $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_6$ *to be the same as those in Example 4.1 and* $\beta_7 = x_1x_2x_4e_{14} - e_{23456}$, $\beta_8 = x_1^2x_2e_{14} - e_{12356}$, $\beta_9 = e_{13456}$, $\beta_{10} = e_{12456}$, $\beta_{11} = e_{12346}$, $\beta_{12} = e_{12345}$. *Notice that* $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^{12}$ *satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.7. Then* $\varphi = (a, b)$ *defines a degree* 0 *map on* $E_2 \oplus E_5$, *and we have*

$$\operatorname{Ker}\varphi = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{12} \rangle + E_3 \oplus E_6$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \left\langle \begin{array}{l} x_3m_1 - x_2m_2 + x_1m_3,\ x_6m_4 - x_5m_5 + x_4m_6, \\ x_1m_7 - x_4m_8 + x_2m_9 - x_3m_{10} - x_5m_{11} + x_6m_{12} \end{array} \right\rangle \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Res(\beta)"'] & \langle m_1, \dots, m_{12} \rangle \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_6 \rangle \cap E_3 \oplus E_6 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \langle \beta_1, \dots \beta_{12} \rangle \arrow[d] \\
 & 0 & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have a long Bourbaki sequence of non-trivial type

$$0 \longrightarrow S^2(-3) \oplus S(-6) \xrightarrow{f} S^6(-2) \oplus S^6(-5) \xrightarrow{g} E_2 \oplus E_5 \xrightarrow{\varphi} I \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\begin{array}{llcc}
f: & S^2(-3) \oplus S(-6) = Sn_1 \oplus Sn_2 \oplus Sn_3 & \longrightarrow & S^6(-2) = Sm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Sm_6 \\
 & n_1 & \longmapsto & x_3m_1 - x_2m_2 + x_1m_3 \\
 & n_2 & \longmapsto & x_6m_4 - x_5m_5 + x_4m_6 \\
 & n_3 & \longmapsto & x_1m_7 - x_4m_8 + x_2m_9 \\
 & & & -x_3m_{10} - x_5m_{11} + x_6m_{12}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llcc}
g: & S^6(-2) = Sm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Sm_6 & \longrightarrow & E_2 \oplus E_5 \\
 & m_i & \longmapsto & \bar{\partial}(\beta_i) \qquad (i = 1, \ldots, 12) \\
 & & & \textit{where } \bar{\partial} = \partial_2 \oplus \partial_5
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lccc}
\varphi & E_2 & \longrightarrow & I \\
 & \partial_2(e_{ij}) & \longmapsto & x_ix_j \\
 & & \textit{for} & (i,j) = (1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(4,5),(4,6),(5,6) \\
 & \partial_2(e_{ij}) & \longmapsto & 0 \\
 & & \textit{for} & (i,j) \neq (1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(4,5),(4,6),(5,6) \\
 & \partial_5(e_{23456}) & \longmapsto & x_1^2x_2x_4^2 \\
 & \partial_5(e_{12356}) & \longmapsto & x_1^3x_2x_4 \\
 & \partial_5(e_{ijklm}) & \longmapsto & 0 \quad \textit{otherwise}
\end{array}$$

and the ideal I is the same as that in Example 4.1. We can also check that this sequence satisfies the numerical condition in Theorem 3.5

Example 4.3. *By Corollary 2.4, we do not have a long Bourbaki sequence with an approximation module E_1 and a codimension 3 generalized CM ideal I. However, there are long Bourbaki sequences with approximation modules $E_1 \oplus E_5(d)$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is an application of Theorem 2.2. Let $k = 1$ and $n = 6$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathbf{A} & = & \langle x_1e_1^* + \cdots + x_6e_6^* \rangle \\
\mathbf{B} & = & \langle B_{ij} = (-1)^i x_j e^*_{[6]\setminus i} - (-1)^j x_i e^*_{[6]\setminus j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq 6 \rangle.
\end{array}$$

Let $\varphi = (a, b)$ be

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
a & = & x_1^3e_1^* + x_1^2x_2e_2^* + x_1^2x_3e_3^* + x_1^2x_4e_4^* + x_1^2x_5e_5^* + x_1^2x_6e_6^* \\
b & = & -x_2^5B_{56} + x_6^5B_{23} \\
 & = & x_2^5x_6e^*_{12346} + x_2^5x_5e^*_{12345} + x_3x_6^5e^*_{13456} + x_2x_6^5e^*_{12456}
\end{array}$$

and set $\beta_i \in K_1 \oplus K_5(1)$ *to be as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 &= -x_6 e_{12345} + x_5 e_{12346}\\
\beta_2 &= x_6^5 e_3 - x_1^2 e_{13456}\\
\beta_3 &= x_6^5 e_2 - x_1^2 e_{12456}\\
\beta_4 &= x_2^4 x_5 e_2 - x_1 e_{12345}\\
\beta_5 &= x_2^4 x_6 e_2 - x_1^2 e_{12346}\\
\beta_6 &= -x_6^4 e_{12346} + x_2^4 e_{12456}\\
\beta_7 &= e_{23456}\\
\beta_8 &= e_{12356}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\beta_i \notin E_2 \oplus E_6(1)$ *for all* i*, i.e., the condition in Theorem 2.7 is satisfied. Then we can check*

(1) $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi : K_1 \oplus K_5(1) \to S(-6) = \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_8 \rangle + E_2 \oplus E_6(1)$ *and* φ *is a degree 8 homomorphism, and*

(2) *the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ker}\, Res(\beta) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Ker}\, \beta \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0\\
0 \arrow[r] & F' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Res(\beta)"'] & G \arrow[d, "\beta"'] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_8 \rangle \cap E_2 \oplus E_6(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_8 \rangle \arrow[d] & \\
 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= \langle m_1, \ldots, m_8 \rangle = S(-5) \oplus S^4(-6) \oplus S(-8) \oplus S^2(-4)\\
F' &= \left\langle \begin{array}{l} -x_1^2 m_1 + x_6 m_4 - x_5 m_5, x_2^4 m_3 - x_6^4 m_5 + x_1^2 m_6, \\ -x_1^2 m_1 - x_2 m_2 + x_3 m_3 - x_1^3 m_7 + x_1^2 x_4 m_8 \end{array} \right\rangle\\
\mathrm{Ker}\, \beta &= \mathrm{Ker}\, Res(\beta) = \langle -x_1^2 m_1 + x_6 m_4 - x_5 m_5, x_2^4 m_3 - x_6^4 m_5 + x_1^2 m_6 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a long Bourbaki sequence

$$0 \to F \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{g} E_1 \oplus E_5(1) \xrightarrow{\phi} I(2) \to 0$$

where $g(m_i) = \beta_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 8$, *and* $F = S(-10) \oplus S^2(-7) = \langle u, v, w \rangle$ *with* $f(u) = x_2^4 m_3 - x_6^4 m_4 + x_1^2 m_6$, $f(v) = -x_1^2 m_1 + x_6 m_4 - x_5 m_5$ *and* $f(w) = -x_1^2 m_1 - x_2 m_2 + x_3 m_3 - x_1^3 m_7 + x_1^2 x_4 m_8$. *The map* ϕ *is as follows:* $\phi(x_i) = x_i x_1^2$ $(i = 1, \ldots, 6)$,

$\phi(\partial_5(e_{12345})) = x_2^5x_5$, $\phi(\partial_5(e_{12346})) = x_2^5x_6$, $\phi(\partial_5(e_{12356})) = 0$, $\phi(\partial_5(e_{12456})) = x_2x_6^5$, $\phi(\partial_5(e_{13456})) = x_3x_6^5$, and $\phi(\partial_5(e_{23456})) = 0$. The ideal is $I = \operatorname{Im}\varphi = x_1^2\mathfrak{m} + (x_2^5x_6, x_2^5x_5, x_3x_6^5, x_2x_6^5)$. Finally we can check that this Bourbaki sequence satisfies the numerical condition of Theorem 3.5.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Amasaki, Basic sequences of homogeneous ideals in polynomial rings, J. Algebra 190, pp329-360, 1997.

[2] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics, Commutative Algebra, Chapter 1-7*, Springer, 1989.

[3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Revised version, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[4] J. Herzog and Y. Takayama, Approximations of Generalized Cohen-Macaulay Modules, preprint, 2002.

[5] E. Kunz, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, 1985.

[6] H. Flenner, Die Sätze von Bertini für lokale Ringe, Math. Ann. **299**, 97–111, 1977.

YUKIHIDE TAKAYAMA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY, 1-1-1 NOJIHIGASHI, KUSATSU, SHIGA 525-8577, JAPAN

E-mail address: `takayama@se.ritsumei.ac.jp`